

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT SEATTLE

7 LOCAL 134, IBEW,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 APOLLO VIDEO TECHNOLOGY,

11 Defendant.

Case No. MC17-0037RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
COMPEL

12
13 This matter comes before the Court on Local 134, IBEW's "Motion to Compel
14 Compliance with Subpoena." Dkt. # 1. Plaintiff seeks to compel compliance with a subpoena
15 issued by an arbitrator in Illinois. The subpoena did not specify a return date, instead stating that
16 the documents must be delivered at Apollo Video Technology's "earliest convenience." Dkt.
17 # 1-1 at 1. Only twenty-one days passed between the date the subpoena was mailed to Apollo
18 and the date this motion was filed.

19 More fundamentally, there is no indication that Apollo was served with this motion or
20 otherwise given an opportunity to respond to the requested relief. The motion to compel is
21 therefore DENIED without prejudice to a second request with proper notice to defendant.
22

23 Dated this 19th day of May, 2017.

24 

25 Robert S. Lasnik

26 United States District Judge